UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,276	04/12/2004	James Alfred White		5667
JAMES ALFRI	7590 09/16/200 E D WHIT E	EXAMINER		
909 HWY 1204			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
PINEVILLE, LA 71360-2912			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,276	WHITE, JAMES ALFRED	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Peterson	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edirawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	difficient vote the attached office	Action of formal 10-102.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3724

1. The amendment filed 12 Sep 05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The recitation that the drive spindle employs American Standard Uniform Thread Form. No suggestion of this can be found in the disclosure as originally filed.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 5, a -to-- is needed between "functioning" and "cut".

Line 10 should be changed to –said means for slicing comprising a cutting element attached--.

On line 17, "such means" should be changed to -said supporting means--.

On line 20, "the" should be changed to -a--.

On line 30, before "a drive support", the following should be added; –said means for rotating the potato comprising--

On line 32, "the" should be changed to -a--.

On line 35, "a" should be changed to -said--.

On line 58, "a common" should be changed to -the common--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,276 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant recites that the spindle uses American Standard Uniform Thread Form.

However, in his remarks filed 19 March 08, Applicant indicates that he starts with

American Standard Uniform Thread Form and the crest of the threads were ground

down. Once the crests are ground, they no longer conform to the American Standard

Uniform Thread Form.

Accordingly, it is not clear what weight should be given to these recitations.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross (2,464,993), who shows;

A means for slicing having a cutting element 9,

a blade support 4:

a means for supporting a potato being a potato supporting guide (20) having a first lock nut (left side, figure 1) via threads,

Application/Control Number: 10/822,276

Art Unit: 3724

a means for rotating the potato having a drive support (5),

Page 4

a drive spindle (22),

a means for manual rotation (24,25),

a drive nut guide (27,28,29) positioning a drive nut (32),

a means for driving with a drive element (34),

a common mounting element 3 having two counter stop elements (6) and 4 support elements (8).

Ross does not disclose a lock nut to hold the drive element (34) onto the spindle. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is ubiquitous for lock nuts to be employed to attach one element to another. For example, Ross himself teaches the use of lock nuts to hold an element on (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Ross by providing a lock nut to hold the drive element on, as suggested by Ross himself.

Ross does not disclose what standard he uses for his threading. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known to employ American Standard Uniform Thread Form in machinery such as this. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Ross by making his threadings employ American Standard Uniform Thread Form, as is well known, based upon its general availability.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,276 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the obviousness of using American Standard Uniform Thread

Form. However, Examiner can find no basis in the disclosure as originally filed for

Applicant to even claim this feature, thus the arguments are moot.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Peterson whose telephone number is (571)272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth Peterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724